EMRA CaseCon Presentation Judging Rubric

Contestant Name: _____

Contestant Number: _____

Judges Names: _____

Contestant Total Score: _____/ 30

Item	Score				
Relevance to EM	1	2	3	4	5
	Not relevant to EM		Moderate relevance to EM	Highly relevant to EM	
Introduction and History	1	2	3	4	5
	Significant omissions/gaps		Partially complete	Complete and pertinent	
Differential Diagnosis	1	2	3	4	5
	Narrow and missing important life/limb threats or not discussed		Broad but missing key diagnoses	Broad and complete and considers all relevant life/limb threats	
Clinical Discussion	1	2	3	4	5
	Unclear, lengthy, disjointed, irrelevant		Clear, but not concise or disjointed	Clear, Concise, Relevant, Smooth Transitions	
Poster	1	2	3	4	5
	Poor use of size, color fonts; does not use understandable format; multiple omissions		Moderate quality, could improve use of color, size fonts or difficult to follow format or some important omission	High quality, good use of size, color, fonts; no obvious omissions	
Presentation	1	2	3	4	5
	Unclear or rambling, lots of "pause" words, poor eye contact, unable to answer important questions		Some room to improve presentation skills regarding engagement or eye contact	Clear, concise, engaging, good eye contact, answers questions	
Formatting, References	1	2	3	4	5
	No reference list and/or multiple distracting spelling or grammar mistakes		Incomplete reference list or a couple noticeable spelling or grammar mistakes	Complete reference list and no obvious spelling/grammar mistakes	

Feedback to be shared with presenter anonymously: