

2020 EMRA CaseCon Presentation Judging Rubric

Contestant Name:		
Contestant Number:		
Judges Names:		
Contestant Total Score:	/ 30	

Item		Score						
Relevance to EM	1	2	3	4	5			
	Not relevant to EM		Moderate relevance to EM	Highly relevant to EM				
Introduction and	1	2	3	4	5			
History	Significant omissions/gaps		Partially complete	Complete and pertinent				
Differential	1	2	3	4	5			
Diagnosis								
	Narrow and missing important life/limb threats or not discussed		Broad but missing key diagnoses	Broad and complete and considers all relevant life/limb threats				
Clinical Discussion	1	2	3	4	5			
	Unclear, lengthy, disjointed, irrelevant		Clear, but not concise or disjointed	Clear, Concise, Relevant, Smooth Transitions				
	1	2	3	4	5			
	not use under	e, color fonts; does standable format; e omissions	Moderate quality, could improve use of color, size fonts or difficult to follow format or some important omission		good use of size, obvious omissions			
words, p	1	2	3	4	5			
	words, poor eye	ling, lots of "pause" e contact, unable to ortant questions	Some room to improve presentation skills regarding engagement or eye contact	Clear, concise, engaging, good eye contact, answers questions				
Formatting,	1	2	3	4	5			
References								
No reference list and/or multiple distracting spelling or grammar mistakes		Incomplete reference list or a couple noticeable spelling or grammar mistakes	Complete reference list and no obvious spelling/grammar mistakes					

Feedback to be shared with presenter anonymously: